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ABSTRACT: Transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have emerged in recent years as a special group of two-dimensional materials and have attracted tremendous attention. Among these TMD materials, molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) has shown promising applications in electronics, photonics, energy, and electrochemistry. In particular, the defects in MoS₂ play an essential role in altering the electronic, magnetic, optical, and catalytic properties of MoS₂, presenting a useful way to engineer the performance of MoS₂. The mechanisms by which lattice defects affect the MoS₂ properties are unsettled. In this work, we reveal systematically how lattice defects and substrate interface affect MoS₂ electronic structure. We fabricated single-layer MoS₂ by chemical vapor deposition and then transferred onto Au, single-layer graphene, hexagonal boron nitride, and CeO₂ as substrates and created defects in MoS₂ by ion irradiation. We assessed how these defects and substrates affect the electronic structure of MoS₂ by performing X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, Raman and photoluminescence spectroscopies, and scanning tunneling microscopy/spectroscopy measurements. Molecular dynamics and first-principles based simulations allowed us to conclude the predominant lattice defects upon ion irradiation and associate those with the experimentally obtained electronic structure. We found that the substrates can tune the electronic energy levels in MoS₂ due to charge transfer at the interface. Furthermore, the reduction state of CeO₂ as an oxide substrate affects the interface charge transfer with MoS₂. The irradiated MoS₂ had a faster hydrogen evolution kinetics compared to the as-prepared MoS₂, demonstrating the concept of defect controlled reactivity in this phase. Our findings provide effective probes for energy band and defects in MoS₂ and show the importance of defect engineering in tuning the functionalities of MoS₂ and other TMDs in electronics, optoelectronics, and electrochemistry.
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Molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂), a layered material in the family of transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), has attracted tremendous attention in recent years due to its extraordinary performance in electronic transistors,¹,² flexible and transparent displays,³–⁵ optoelectronic devices,²,⁴ flexible sensors, and energy applications.⁶,⁸ As a material with an extra-large surface-to-bulk ratio, single-layer (SL) MoS₂ is highly conducive to forming defects.⁹ The defects in MoS₂ play an essential role in tuning the properties of SL MoS₂ in various ways and so affect the performance of devices based on MoS₂. They can, for example, enhance the electrochemical activity¹⁰,¹¹ and tune the electronic¹² mag-
netic,\textsuperscript{13} and optical\textsuperscript{14} properties of MoS\textsubscript{2}. For example, in electrochemical applications, recent experiments and theories\textsuperscript{10,11,15} show that S-vacancies in the basal plane of MoS\textsubscript{2} can activate the catalytic reactivity of MoS\textsubscript{2}. By introducing S-vacancies and suitable strains in SL MoS\textsubscript{2}, Li et al.\textsuperscript{11} achieved the highest activity so far for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). In terms of electronic properties, on the other hand, defects such as vacancies, dislocations, and grain boundaries reduce the electronic mobility in MoS\textsubscript{2} prepared using chemical vapor deposition (CVD), by several magnitudes compared to MoS\textsubscript{2} exfoliated from single-crystal bulk.\textsuperscript{12} Regarding optical properties, Tongay et al.\textsuperscript{14} experimentally demonstrated that S-vacancies induce new photoluminescence (PL) peaks and enhance the PL intensities. In magnetics, Han et al.\textsuperscript{13} reported ferromagnetic effects resulting from anion and cation vacancies and vacancy clusters in MoS\textsubscript{2}.

Although the role of MoS\textsubscript{2} defects in altering the properties has been widely recognized, there are still open questions at a deeper and mechanistic level for connecting the presence and type of defects to electronic, optical, and catalytic characteristics. For example, only S-vacancies were considered in activating the MoS\textsubscript{2} basal plane to enable the HER, while other types of defects, such as Mo-vacancies, have not been studied in detail.\textsuperscript{10,11} Moreover, CVD MoS\textsubscript{2} shows n-type electronic conductivity.\textsuperscript{16} Qiu et al.\textsuperscript{17} and Ugeda et al.\textsuperscript{18} believed that this phenomenon is caused by the S-vacancies and by the resulting defect donor states in MoS\textsubscript{2}. However, Komsa et al.\textsuperscript{19} found that through first-principles calculations, the S-vacancies form acceptor states rather than donor states in the
MoS$_2$ energy bands. Therefore, the n-type conductivity in CVD MoS$_2$ remains elusive despite the fact that S-vacancies are the most common defects in MoS$_2$ prepared by the CVD process. Recently, Yu et al. proposed that the donor states in polycrystalline MoS$_2$ stem from defect complexes made of a dislocation and two S-vacancies.

To understand the role of defects in MoS$_2$ functionality, in this work, we systematically assessed the impact of defects on the electronic structure of SL MoS$_2$ on various substrates. The SL MoS$_2$ used in our study was prepared through CVD synthesis. The substrate layers used beneath the MoS$_2$ layer were Au, SL boron nitride (BN)/Au, SL graphene (SLG)/Au, and CeO$_2$/Au. Methods commonly used to create defects in MoS$_2$ in literature included vacuum thermal annealing, electron irradiation, plasma treatment, Se insertion, and ion irradiation. In this work, we introduce lattice defects in SL MoS$_2$ by both thermal annealing in vacuum and Au$_{Ar}^+$ ion irradiation. While both approaches to create defects in MoS$_2$ have been used in previous literature as noted above, here we reveal the electronic structure induced by lattice defects formed in those ways. This information helps to interpret the mechanisms by which the defects alter the properties of MoS$_2$. The presence of defects in MoS$_2$ was confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) as well as Raman and PL spectroscopies. Both XPS and scanning tunneling microscopy/spectroscopy (STM/STS) showed that the defects in the MoS$_2$ can change the electronic energy levels in MoS$_2$ and introduce defect states in the bandgap. Raman and PL spectroscopies can be sensitive and convenient tools to probe the defects in MoS$_2$. The presence of defect states within the bandgap was observed using STS, which is likely to be associated with S-vacancies and Mo-vacancies, and matches well with our molecular dynamics (MD) and density functional theory (DFT) simulations. In addition, the reduction in the oxide substrate ($\text{CeO}_2$) was also found to change the band alignment between MoS$_2$ and the substrate. This finding demonstrates that MoS$_2$ electronic structure is tunable by controlling the defect and charge-transfer states of the underlying red-ox active oxide substrate. We also demonstrated that the introduction of defects by ion irradiation can effectively enhance the HER activity. As a result, our work provides a detailed electronic structure description of defected MoS$_2$ on various substrates and demonstrates that introducing defects can be effective in improving the functionality of 2D materials.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Single-layer MoS$_2$ forms a hexagonal lattice structure from the top view and has three sublayers of atoms, one layer of Mo atoms sandwiched between two layers of S atoms (Figure 1a). Figure 1a also shows the structures studied in this work and the processes of thermal annealing and ion sputtering. First, we look at the impact of thermal annealing on the electronic structure of SL MoS$_2$ on various substrates. XPS measurements were performed on the MoS$_2$ samples on different substrates after transfer and after annealing at 300 °C and 450 °C under 10$^{-10}$ mbar base pressure. Figure S1f shows a representative XPS survey spectrum of SL MoS$_2$ on Au/quartz substrate. The optical microscope images of all the samples, as well as the atomic structure of MoS$_2$, are shown in Figure S1. Peaks of all the elements can be easily observed and are labeled, including Mo 3p, Au 4d, C 1s, Mo 3d, S 2p, and Au 4f. We mainly study the Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ peak position relative to the Au 4f$_{7/2}$ peak. A change in this relative position is indicative to charge transfer between MoS$_2$ and Au (Figure 1b). We define $\Delta E$ as the binding energy difference between the Au 4f$_{7/2}$ and Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ photoemission peaks (labeled in Figure 1b,c). We use changes in $\Delta E$ as a measure of the energy level shifts in MoS$_2$.

For MoS$_2$ transferred on to different substrates, the Au 4f$_{7/2}$ has almost no variation in binding energy (Figure 1b,c). In contrast, Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ has different binding energies depending on the substrate, as seen in Figure 1c. The Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ peak is at 229.74 eV for MoS$_2$/Au after transfer (prior to annealing), but shifted to higher binding energies by 0.06, 0.08, and 0.13 eV for MoS$_2$/SLG/Au, MoS$_2$/BN/Au, and MoS$_2$/CeO$_2$/Au, respectively, as shown with black squares in Figure 1c. The different binding energy of Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ electrons is attributed to the different band alignments between MoS$_2$ and the substrates. The mechanism of this phenomenon is illustrated in Figure 1d. The basic assumption is that when MoS$_2$ in contact with the substrate, there will be a potential energy change that lifts up or shifts down the MoS$_2$ energy level (Figure 1(d)), as demonstrated in refs 34 and 37. Au has an electron affinity of 5.1 eV, larger than MoS$_2$ (4.7 eV). Since Au substrate is a metal and has an abundance of electrons, the Fermi level of the semiconducting MoS$_2$ needs to be aligned with the Fermi level of Au. When MoS$_2$ is directly in contact with Au, electrons transfer from MoS$_2$ to Au substrate, leading to a downshift of MoS$_2$ Fermi level position within the bandgap. It is important to recall that an interface charge or interface dipole can be formed between MoS$_2$ and Au which is due to the interaction of MoS$_2$ and Au and the creation interfacial state. Such interface charge can cause a potential drop across the MoS$_2$ and Au interface, as shown in Figure 1d. When there is a layer of graphene inserted between MoS$_2$ and Au, electrons from both the MoS$_2$ layer and graphene will transfer to Au. Interface dipoles were reported to exist in MoS$_2$/graphene and graphene/Au interfaces, which lead to a larger potential drop across the middle layer, leading to a down shift of MoS$_2$ energy level and the alignment of Fermi levels of MoS$_2$ and Au (Figure 1d). Accompanied with the creation of a larger potential drop across the interface by inserting SLG (Figure 1d), the binding energy of Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ electrons in MoS$_2$ increases, resulting in a larger $\Delta E$ in MoS$_2$/SLG/Au compared to MoS$_2$/Au. Due to the insulating nature of hBN and CeO$_2$ layers, charge can be accumulated on both sides of the BN and CeO$_2$ interface, thus contributing to a large potential drop across the middle layer, leading to a down shift of MoS$_2$ energy level and the alignment of Fermi levels of MoS$_2$ and Au (Figure 1d). As a result of this down shift of energy level, the Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ binding energy at the MoS$_2$ surface is further increased by adding a BN and CeO$_2$ layer. These results indicate that SLG, BN, and CeO$_2$ can be effective media to partially screen interface charge transfer directly from MoS$_2$ to Au, which is ubiquitous at the interface of MoS$_2$ and many metals. Therefore, these substrates can facilitate the applications of electronic devices based on MoS$_2$. It is important to note that the energy shifts of the valence band, conduction band, and core level due to the interface potential drop are not necessarily the same quantitatively. The actual quantitative changes in each energy level depend on the details of how the band structure evolve upon charge transfer at the interface. Our explanation is qualitative and only shows the trend of how the energy level changes for different samples.

Annealing at 300 °C in vacuum increases the variation of $\Delta E$ (Figure 1b,c) among the different substrates. As can be seen by data (blue squares) in Figure 1c, upon annealing at 300 °C and compared to the as-transferred states, Mo 3d$_{5/2}$ shifts to even
Figure 2. Shift of the Mo 3d_{5,2} binding energy and Ce^{4+} reduction to Ce^{3+} during annealing. (a) The binding energies of the Mo 3d_{5,2} and Au 4f_{7/2} electrons for the MoS_{2}/CeO_{2}/Au sample after transfer and after annealing at 200 °C, 300 °C, and 450 °C. (b) The Ce 3d X-ray photoelectron spectra of MoS_{2}/CeO_{2}/Au samples after each annealing step. The dashed red lines indicate the appearance of Ce^{3+} peaks after 450 °C annealing. (c) Illustration of energy band alignment for the MoS_{2}/CeO_{2}/Au sample. The upper graph shows the bands before the Ce^{4+} reduction, and the lower one shows the bands after the Ce^{3+} reduction.

lower binding energies on MoS_{2}/Au and MoS_{2}/SLG/Au, while the Mo 3d_{5,2} remains almost unchanged on MoS_{2}/BN/Au and MoS_{2}/CeO_{2}/Au. The binding energy of Au 4f_{7/2} remains the same after thermal annealing. The removal of water or gas residues between MoS_{2} and the substrates and a better contact between MoS_{2} and the substrates after annealing at 300 °C are a likely reason for these changes. Hence the charge-transfer process between MoS_{2} and Au or between MoS_{2} and SLG/Au was enhanced by thermal annealing, resulting in a smaller potential drop across the interface and a smaller ΔE values.

Next, we show further evidence to the charge transfer between MoS_{2} and different substrates based on the Raman and PL spectra measured after annealing at 300 °C. Figure S2a shows the Raman modes of all the samples, including the E′ (around 385 cm⁻¹) and A_{1g}′ (around 405 cm⁻¹) modes. All spectra are normalized by the intensity of the A_{1g}′ mode. Note that the notations E′ and A_{1g}′ are for SL MoS_{2} with D_{3h} symmetry, and they become E_{3h} and A_{1g} respectively, for bulk MoS_{2} that has D_{6h} symmetry. Clearly, the A_{1g}′ peak is redshifted after transfer, and all substrates induce peak widening compared to the as-grown sample. Since A_{1g}′ is sensitive to doping, it is reasonable to infer that the substrates introduce p-type doping to MoS_{2}, which is also consistent with our XPS results and with the literature. The E′ peaks also widen and redshift after transfer, which indicates the strain introduced during the transfer process. The corresponding PL spectra shown in Figure S2b, which are also normalized by the A_{1g}′ peaks, suggest the presence of strain and doping effects in MoS_{2} as well. As can be seen, the as-grown sample shows z strong and narrow PL peak at around 1.85 eV. The suppression of the PL peaks of MoS_{2} on Au and SLG/Au substrates is due to the metallic nature of the substrates and the subsequent charge transfer that occurs between MoS_{2} and the substrate and the PL quenching effect. We can still observe a very weak PL peak for MoS_{2}/SLG/Au, which suggests the charge-transfer effect in MoS_{2}/SLG/Au is not as strong as in MoS_{2}/Au. This is in line with our XPS results in Figure 1b,c that the binding energy of Mo 3d_{5/2} is slightly higher for MoS_{2}/SLG/Au than for MoS_{2}/Au. The PL peaks for MoS_{2}/BN/Au and MoS_{2}/CeO_{2}/Au are still strong, indicating the insulating nature of these two substrates, again consistent with the findings from XPS in Figure 1b,c. However, the PL peaks are widened compared to as-synthesized MoS_{2}, which is likely due to the transfer process.

The effect of annealing to a higher temperature, 450 °C is also shown in Figure 1b,c. For MoS_{2} on Au, SLG/Au, and BN/Au, the Mo 3d_{5/2} binding energy does not show much difference between 300 °C and 450 °C. However, the CeO_{2}/Au substrate induces a considerable decrease of ΔE by about 0.18 eV after annealing at 450 °C. Figure 2a also confirms that Au 4f_{7/2} binding energy is not affected either by the thermal annealing or by the reduction of CeO_{2}. In fact, as observed in Figure 2a, the binding energy of Mo 3d_{5/2} remains at about 229.85 eV for an as-prepared sample as well as after annealing at 200 and 300 °C. After annealing at 450 °C, however, the binding energy of Mo 3d decreases to about 229.7 eV. It is important to recall here that CeO_{2} is a red-ox active material and loses oxygen upon annealing in reducing conditions; that is, annealing in ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) as in this experiment. A 450 °C is high enough temperature to enable oxygen mobility and therefore enables reduction of CeO_{2} to a reduced, oxygen-deficient state. It is clear in the X-ray photoelectron spectra of Ce 3d electrons in Figure 2b that the Ce^{4+} peaks at binding energies of 886 and 904 eV are enhanced after 450 °C, confirming that Ce^{4+} in CeO_{2} is partially reduced to Ce^{3+}. Reduced CeO_{2−x} is a mixed ionic electronic conductor, and electronic conduction occurs through hopping of localized Ce 4f electrons from Ce^{3+} to Ce^{4+} sites. Because of electronic conductivity of reduced CeO_{2−x}, a smaller potential drop takes place in the CeO_{2} layer of the MoS_{2}/CeO_{2}/Au structure compared to its fully oxidized state and therefore a smaller shift of energy level in MoS_{2}. Consequently, a smaller binding energy of Mo 3d_{5/2} and a smaller ΔE prevail, as shown in Figure 2c.

Thermal annealing itself did not introduce any noticeable defects into MoS_{2}. Upon annealing in UHV to 450 °C, our XPS results showed that the Mo 3d peak shapes did not change.
The intensity ratio of the Mo and S photoemission peaks did not change after annealing at 450 °C (Figure S3b). These mean that MoS2 remained stable and without detectable formation of defects upon annealing alone. This is consistent with Raman results presented later in the paper (Figure 3b,c). However, thermal annealing changed the coupling between MoS2 and the substrate by improving the contact between MoS2 and the substrate in MoS2/Au, MoS2/SLG/Au, and MoS2/BN/Au or by introducing defects into the reducible substrate CeO2 in MoS2/CeO2/Au. Both effects significantly change the band alignment between MoS2 and the substrate and can have a significant impact on the device performance, since many electronic and optoelectronic devices depend on the energy levels and band alignment of MoS2.

As stated in the Introduction, ion irradiation is another way to create defects and defect-induced electronic states in 2D materials. Heavy ion irradiation is shown to introduce extended defects such as incisions and folds in 2D materials including MoS2. Here we focus on lattice defects that can be created by low-energy ion irradiation. We introduced lattice defects into SL MoS2 by low-energy Ar+ sputtering and studied the change of photoemission binding energy, peak shape, and optical properties for MoS2 on Au substrates. As shown in Figure 3a, the defects introduced by ion irradiation did significantly alter the band alignment between MoS2 and Au. The Mo 3d5/2 binding energy decreased by 0.8 eV, and the Au 4f7/2 peak remained unchanged after sputtering with 0.5 keV Ar+ ion beam for 1 min. In contrast to this significant energy shift upon sputtering, no change in ΔE was detectable between annealing at 300 °C and 450 °C. Re-annealing the sputtered samples at 450 °C does not change the band alignment further.

To provide more quantitative information about the defects in MoS2 after sputtering, we performed Raman and PL spectra measurements on the MoS2/Au samples before and after sputtering. Figure 3b shows the Raman spectra of MoS2/Au after 300 °C and 450 °C annealing and after sputtering. The MoS2 Raman peaks E‘ and A1′ are labeled. All spectra are normalized by the corresponding A1′ peaks. The measured data are shown as dots. Fitted individual peaks and overall spectra are shown as gray and colored curves, respectively. (c) Raman shift and fullwidth at half-maximum (FWHM) of E‘ and A1′ peaks for the MoS2/Au sample after annealing at 300 °C and 450 °C and after sputtering. (d) Illustration of energy band alignment for the MoS2/Au sample. The left graph shows the bands before sputtering, and the right one shows the bands after sputtering.
around 415 cm\(^{-1}\)) to the right of \(A_1'\) mode, which are assigned as defect modes,\(^{26}\) but without a clear identification of the defect type. Both peaks are significantly enhanced after sputtering, another feature confirming the introduction of defects with sputtering. At about 220 cm\(^{-1}\), there is an LA mode which also indicates the existence of defects for MoS\(_2\), and this mode becomes considerable after sputtering.\(^{25}\) The corresponding PL peaks (Figure S3c) show that after sputtering, PL peaks totally disappear. PL in MoS\(_2\) is generated due to the radiative decay of excitons which binds electrons and holes near the edges of conduction and valence bands.\(^{5,51}\) The reduction of the PL peak intensities indicates that the electronic structure changed due to a large defect density, and the population of the defect states increases the nonradiative decay channel of excitons.

The evolution of Raman peaks with thermal annealing and sputtering is summarized in Figure 4c. There is an obvious red shift in \(E'\) peak and blue shift in \(A_1'\) peak after sputtering. Both peaks are widened as well, and the variation for the peaks also increases after sputtering. As reported before,\(^{26}\) defects in MoS\(_2\) can cause the frequency shifts and widenings in \(E'\) and \(A_1'\) peaks observed here. From the Raman signatures, we can also deduce interdefect distances to be 1.60 ± 0.03 nm (defect density 7.46 ± 0.42 \(\times 10^{12}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) after sputtering.\(^{25}\) Thus, our Raman measurement manifests itself as a sensitive and nondestructive approach to probe the defects in MoS\(_2\), which is in good agreement with the XPS measurements. The introduction of defect states in MoS\(_2\) is illustrated with green levels within the gap in Figure 3d. The reason for the change of band alignment between MoS\(_2\) and Au as observed in XPS measurement (Figure 3a) is likely due to the creation of defect states within the band gap of MoS\(_2\), which greatly facilitates the charge-transfer process between MoS\(_2\) and Au. Hence, a decrease of interface potential drop and a smaller \(\Delta E\) (Figure 3d) were observed. More detailed information on defect-related states in the gap will be discussed in STM/STS Measurements section later.

Besides MoS\(_2\)/Au, we also observed similar Raman and PL changes on other samples after sputtering. For example, Figure S4 shows the Raman and PL spectra of MoS\(_2\)/BN/Au sample after 300 °C and 450 °C annealing and after sputtering. Similar defect-related Raman modes (the 362 cm\(^{-1}\) mode and the LA mode at 220 cm\(^{-1}\)) appear after sputtering, \(E'\) and \(A_1'\) peaks widen after sputtering, and they red and blue shift, respectively, all indicating the introduction of defects (Figure S4c,d). The PL peak for MoS\(_2\)/BN/Au disappears after sputtering, indicating the introduction of in-gap defect states and the change of energy band structure (Figure S4b).

As shown above, the XPS and Raman spectroscopy indicate formation of defect states within the bandgap of MoS\(_2\), leading to a stronger charge transfer between MoS\(_2\) and Au substrate. To provide more direct information on the electronic structure of ion irradiated MoS\(_2\), we performed scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and tunneling spectroscopy (dI/dV spectroscopy) on SL MoS\(_2\) in its as-transferred state and after introducing defects by Ar\(^+\) sputtering. We transferred pristine MoS\(_2\) onto highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) substrates using a water-assisted method, leaving a clean and residue-free surface of MoS\(_2\). The boundary between HOPG substrate and SL MoS\(_2\) can be seen clearly in Figure 4a, with the Moiré pattern of MoS\(_2\) and HOPG lattices in MoS\(_2\)/HOPG region shown in Figure 4b. The presence of the Moiré pattern indicates a coherent interface between MoS\(_2\) and HOPG substrate. The dI/dV spectra, representing the density of states (DOS), on MoS\(_2\) on HOPG prior to irradiation is in Figure 4c. The dI/dV shows both the Dirac cone of graphite and the edges of the conduction band and valence band of MoS\(_2\). The HOPG substrate contributes to the dI/dV spectra, and hence it was difficult to precisely quantify the MoS\(_2\) bandgap. Based on the slope change in the spectra, we approximate the bandgap to be 1.9 eV, a slightly smaller value than other works reported for pristine monolayer MoS\(_2\).\(^{32−35}\) The MoS\(_2\) layers were sputtered with 500 eV Ar\(^+\) ions for 1 min in the STM chamber, the same procedure as the other samples presented in Figure 3. Sputtering led to poor image resolution, and therefore it was not possible to obtain tunneling spectra precisely on individual atomic defects. We collected spectra on various locations to obtain a general result on the defected surface. The dI/dV spectra shown in Figure 4d are representative spectra that show defect states after ion irradiation. Three new peaks in the bandgap located approximately 0.3 eV (#1), 1.2 eV (#2), and 1.6 eV (#3) below the conduction band minimum can be observed (Figure 4d). Previous work has reported the STS of bulk MoS\(_2\) which shows in-gap defect states as well.\(^{36}\) Since the defect density in our sample is large, as quantified from Raman to be close to 10\(^{12}\) cm\(^{-2}\), we may see defect states from several defect types and configurations in the dI/dV spectra. These results qualitatively indicate the existence of different types of defects located at different energy positions. This is a reasonable outcome given the nature of ion irradiation induced defects. As will be shown by theoretical calculations later, the peaks closer to valence band (#2, #3) are likely related to the Mo-vacancies, and the one closer to the conduction band (#1) may arise from single or double S-vacancies.\(^{11}\) Calculations of
defect states arising from different type of defects as described below support this interpretation. The variation of $dV/dI$ taken at different locations at the surface is also shown in Figure S5.

To identify the likely type of defects upon irradiation of MoS$_2$ and to associate those defects with the electronic states shown in Figure 4d, MD simulations and first-principles calculations, respectively, were carried out. In MD simulations, Ar$^+$ ion with incident energy of 500 eV was initially placed at 20 Å above the freestanding SL MoS$_2$ sheet, and the incident direction is perpendicular to the MoS$_2$ sheet (Figure 5a). The most likely defect configurations upon ion irradiation in MoS$_2$ were found as single S-vacancy (V$_s$), single Mo-vacancy (V$_{Mo}$), double S-vacancy (V$_{2s}$), S replaced by Mo (V$_{Mo}$), Mo replaced by S (V$_{SMo}$), Mo and three S-vacancy (V$_{Mo3s}$), and Mo and six S-vacancy (V$_{Mo6s}$) configurations upon ion irradiation in MoS$_2$ and to associate those defects with the electronic states shown in Figure 4d, MD simulations and first-principles calculations, respectively, were carried out. In MD simulations, Ar$^+$ ion with incident energy of 500 eV was initially placed at 20 Å above the freestanding SL MoS$_2$ sheet, and the incident direction is perpendicular to the MoS$_2$ sheet (Figure 5a).

The total DOS and the contributions from the Mo and S states in MoS$_2$ with no defects and with three types of defects, V$_s$, V$_{2s}$, and V$_{Mo}$, are shown in Figure 5b. The main defect states that can be introduced by sputtering and the local electronic structure changes caused by these defects are shown in Figure 4d. The probability density for different defect configurations to form after 500 eV Ar$^+$ sputtering, as found from MD simulations, is shown in Figure 5a. The total DOS and the contributions from the Mo and S states in MoS$_2$ with no defects and with three types of defects, V$_s$, V$_{2s}$, and V$_{Mo}$, are shown in Figure 5b. The main defect states that can be introduced by sputtering and the local electronic structure changes caused by these defects are shown in Figure 4d.

The electronic structure of defected MoS$_2$ probed by $dV/dI$ (Figure 4d) with the DFT results, we infer that the S-vacancies (both single and double S-vacancies) and single Mo-vacancies dominate the changes in the electronic structure resulting from Ar$^+$ sputtering observed in both XPS and $dV/dI$.

It is worth noting that we cannot rule out the contribution from other defect configurations, such as Mo$_{Mo}$, S$_{Mo}$. However, their presence is expected to be less than the three major defects examined in Figure S5. The electronic structures of SL MoS$_2$ with these defect configurations are shown in Figure S6. Some of these defects states have signatures in DOS as V$_s$, V$_{2s}$, and V$_{Mo}$. As can be seen from Figure S5 and Figure S6, the main defects we observed in MD simulation are vacancies for the irradiation conditions we used. Interstitials are barely observed. This is because interstitials are very unstable. Due to the small migration energy of interstitials, they tend to migrate to other sites and form stable and regular defect forms. Figure S7 shows a representative relaxation process of S interstitial formed by Ar$^+$ sputtering. The formation of vacancies is mainly balanced by sputtered ions. As shown in Figure S7c, the number of sputtered atoms as a function of Ar$^+$ sputtering energy reached a peak at 500–600 eV. In previous works, thermal annealing at 500 °C is considered to mainly cause S-vacancies in MoS$_2$. Here we report that with ion sputtering introduces other types of defects because of atom sputtering and mixing effects. Hence ion sputtering provides a potential way to tune the defect states of MoS$_2$ and other 2D materials, beyond thermal annealing and thermodynamic defect equilibria. Furthermore, as expected, our MD simulation results demonstrate the possibility of forming different defect configurations by varying the ion energy, as shown in Figure S8 for 200, 500, and 2000 eV Ar$^+$ ion sputtering. By selecting the appropriate ion sputtering conditions, it is possible to tune the properties and performance of 2D functional materials.

Finally, as one demonstration of tuning the functionality of MoS$_2$ through defect engineering, we test the HER activity of the as-synthesized MoS$_2$ single layer and the one with defects introduced by ion sputtering. We use an inert substrate, glassy carbon, for this experiment. As shown in Figure 6a, the pristine MoS$_2$ transferred on glassy carbon shows strong E′ and A$_1$ Raman peaks with no defect peaks at 362 or 220 cm$^{-1}$. After...
500 eV Ar\(^+\) ions sputtering MoS\(_2\) for 2 min, the sample shows distinct defect peaks, and the intensities of E\(_1\) and E\(_2\) peaks are decreased, consistent with Figure 3b. The HER reaction kinetics is faster, with a higher current density on MoS\(_2\) that was ion sputtered (Figure 6b). This result clearly demonstrates the enhancement of HER kinetics after introduction of defects, because of activating the inert basal plane of MoS\(_2\) for HER by creating S vacancies.\(^{11,59}\) Upon Ar\(^+\) irradiation. Results indicate a good potential for the application of defect engineering of MoS\(_2\) and other TMD materials.

In addition to hydrogen evolution and catalytic devices, the defect and interface engineering of MoS\(_2\) can also be applied in electronic and photonic devices. For example, the band alignment of MoS\(_2\) and the substrate may create interfacial regions for effective light generation or harvesting.\(^{60-62}\) The substrates are known to affect also the PL properties of MoS\(_2\), inducing tunable light-emitting features.\(^{63,64}\) Since defects influence the light emitting, electron mobility, and ferromagnetic properties of MoS\(_2\), customized electronic and photonic devices with targeted defects can be fabricated. For example, as indicated by previous studies, stronger PL was observed by introducing defects in MoS\(_2\), which may inspire MoS\(_2\)-based photonic devices.\(^{65}\) Meanwhile, as defects and substrates alter the band structure of MoS\(_2\), targeted selection of substrates can help engineer various electronic devices that can be designed and engineered, such as tunneling field-effect transistors.\(^{63,64}\) In addition, using oxide substrates such as CeO\(_2\) in this work, electronic and photonic devices tunable by temperature can potentially be fabricated and operated.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we performed a systematic study of the effects of substrate and defects on SL MoS\(_2\), electronic structure using XPS, Raman and PL spectroscopies, and STM/STS. Thermal annealing in UHV considerably improved the contact between MoS\(_2\) and substrate, facilitating charge transfer between MoS\(_2\) and metallic substrates like Au and SLG/Au and causing energy band shift in MoS\(_2\). In addition, and importantly, reduction of the CeO\(_2\) substrate upon annealing significantly affects the energy band alignment of MoS\(_2\) and CeO\(_2\)/Au substrate, because the reduction introduces electronic conductivity into this substrate. Defects introduced by ion sputtering influenced the electronic structure of MoS\(_2\) and band alignment of MoS\(_2\)/Au heterostructure. The local defect states within the band gap were identified by STM and dI/dV spectra. The MD and DFT simulations indicate that these defect states are likely to be single and double S vacancies and single Mo vacancies. In addition, we demonstrated that the introduction of defects by ion sputtering enhances HER activity of SL MoS\(_2\). Tunability of defect types and concentrations in MoS\(_2\) by ion sputtering at different energies provides a possibility to engineer the properties of MoS\(_2\), as well as other 2D materials. This work demonstrates an effective set of spectroscopic methods, supported by atomistic and electronic calculations, to probe the band shift, charge transfer, and defect states in MoS\(_2\), which are sensitive to substrate, thermal annealing and ion sputtering. Our results show the importance and potential of defect engineering in tuning the functionality of MoS\(_2\) and other TMD materials in electronics, optoelectronics, and electrochemistry.

METHODS

CVD Synthesis of Monolayer MoS\(_2\). Monolayer MoS\(_2\) films were grown on SiO\(_2\)/Si substrates by atmospheric pressure CVD.\(^{21}\) Prior to the growth, the substrates were etched in KOH solution for 5 min to make them hydrophilic and rinsed with deionized (DI) water. Then, perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic acid tetrapotassium salt (PTAS) was spin coated on substrate for different samples. The sulfur precursor (15–33 mg) was loaded in an alumina boat and placed at 14 cm from the furnace center. MoO\(_3\) powder (18–20 mg) was added to a second alumina boat, of which the PTAS-coated substrates were placed on top of the alumina boat facing down. The second boat was loaded into the center of the furnace for growth. The growth process was performed in a tube furnace at a sample temperature of 650 °C. The growth was carried out for 3 min, during which argon (5 sccm) was used as a carrier gas. An argon flow rate of 1000 sccm was used before the growth to purge the tube and after the growth to quench the growth process.

MoS\(_2\) Transfer. To transfer MoS\(_2\) from a Si/SiO\(_2\) substrate to another substrate, PMMA was first spin-coated on MoS\(_2\) on the Si/SiO\(_2\) substrate. After baking at 80 °C, the chip was put in KOH solution, which etched away the SiO\(_2\) layer. After rinsing in DI water, the MoS\(_2\)-PMMA film was transferred onto the target substrate, followed by soaking in acetone to remove the PMMA layer. The procedures to transfer monolayer graphene or BN were generally the same as for MoS\(_2\), except that FeCl\(_3\) was used to etch the Cu substrate for CVD grown graphene and BN. The detailed growth procedure for monolayer BN and graphene can be found in previous reports.\(^{60-67}\) The Au layer was deposited on quartz substrate by sputtering, Pulsed laser deposition was used to synthesize the CeO\(_2\) thin layer on Au substrate using a KrF excimer laser with a wavelength of 248 nm. The films were deposited at 600 °C under 10 mTorr oxygen pressure. After the growth process, the films were cooled down to room temperature in 2 Torr oxygen pressure to oxidize the films.

To transfer MoS\(_2\) to graphite substrate for STM/STS measurement, water-assisted transfer (PMMA-free) was used. In the process, a PDMS piece about 1 cm\(^2\) with a drop of water was pressed on as-grown MoS\(_2\) on Si/SiO\(_2\) substrate. A small area of MoS\(_2\) was attached to PDMS, which was then pressed on to the target substrate. After removing PDMS, MoS\(_2\) was left clean on the target substrate with no PMMA residues.

XPS Measurements. An Omicron EA 125 hemispherical analyzer and Omicron DAR 400 Mg/Al dual anode nonmonochromatic X-ray source were used for the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements for probing the surface chemistry and core level peaks of MoS\(_2\) on different substrates. Peak-fitting and chemical qualification were performed using the CasaXPS software.

STM/STS Measurements. A variable-temperature scanning tunneling microscope (VT-STM) (Omicron GmbH, Germany) was used to probe the surface morphology and to obtain surface electronic structure information with high spatial resolution at room temperature. STM/STS was performed in UHV (1 × 10\(^{-10}\) mbar) chamber at constant-current mode using W tips. A tip bias voltage of 1.5 V and feedback tunneling current of 50–100 pA was used during the measurements. The tunneling spectra were collected using a lock-in preamplifier to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. For surface cleaning, the samples were annealed in the STM chamber at 350 °C in vacuum for 3 h before STM/STS measurement. For performing STM/STS on MoS\(_2\), HOPG substrate rather than Au/quartz was used, because MoS\(_2\) on HOPG provided higher quality STM/STS data compared to other substrates.

Thermal Annealing and Ion Irradiation of MoS\(_2\). The thermal annealing and ion irradiation were carried out using the direct heating stage and Ar\(^+\) sputtering gun, which were installed in the same UHV chamber as STM/STS and XPS. The Ar\(^+\) ion energy was 500 eV with a fixed emission current of 20 mA.

Raman and PL Spectroscopy Measurements. A Horiba-JY HR 800 system was used to measure the Raman and PL spectra of MoS\(_2\). The 532 nm laser was focused using a 100× objective down to a spot size of 1 μm on the sample surface. The laser power on the sample was...
controlled at 0.5 mW, and a 600 lines/mm grating was used. The Raman and PL peak parameters were obtained by fitting the spectra using Gaussian/Lorentzian line shape.

**HER Measurements.** The HER measurement was carried out using a three electrode system, using MoS2 on glassy carbon as the working electrode, carbon rod as the counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode. 1 M H2SO4 was used as the electrolyte. Before each measurement, the cell was purged with Ar for 30 min to remove any residual gas. A Parstat 2273 potentiostat was used to perform the linear sweep measurements with a scan rate of 6 mV/s.

**Atomistic Simulations and Electronic Structure Calculations.** MD simulations were performed using the large-scale/molecular massively parallel simulator (LAMMPS). Ion irradiations were conducted on a free-standing SL MoS2. The incident Ar+ ion was initially placed at 20 Å above the MoS2 sheet, and the incident direction was perpendicular to the MoS2 sheet. The incident energies were varied from 50 eV to 1000 keV, and 100 independent simulations were carried out for each specific energy. The first-principles level calculations of the electronic structure were carried out based on the spin-polarized DFT employing periodic boundary conditions as implemented in the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP).

The projector augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotentials and the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functional of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) were used.

**ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.7b08418.

**AUTHOR INFORMATION**

**Corresponding Authors**

*E-mail: escheny@scut.edu.cn.
*E-mail: jingkong@mit.edu.
*E-mail: byildiz@mit.edu.

**ORCID**

Yan Chen: 0000-0001-6193-7508
Kiran Adepalli: 0000-0001-9641-1901
Xinwei Wang: 0000-0001-1191-8162
Jing Kong: 0000-0003-0551-1208

**Present Address**

‡Guangzhou Key Laboratory for Surface Chemistry of Energy Materials, New Energy Research Institute, School of Environment and Energy, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510006, China

**Author Contributions**

*These authors contributed equally to this work. Y.C., S.H., B.Y., and J.X. initiated and designed the project. Y.C. performed the XPS measurements, S.H. performed the PL and Raman spectroscopy measurements, and K.A. performed the STM and STS measurements. X.J. and X.L. performed CVD synthesis of SL graphene and MoS2. K.Y., X.W., and J.X. performed DFT and MD simulations. All authors discussed the results, analyzed the data, and contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

**Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Y.C. acknowledges the support from Natural Science Foundation of China (11605063), the Schlumberger Foundation Faculty for the Future Fellowship, Guangdong Innovative and Entrepreneurial Research Team Program (2014ZT05N200), the Recruitment Program of Global Youth Experts. S.H., X.L., and M.D. acknowledge a grant DE-SC0001299 for financial support. S.H. acknowledges support from Materials Research Institute and Department of Electrical Engineering at The Pennsylvania State University. K.Y., X.W., and J.X. acknowledge the support from IAEA (CRP no. F11020 and contract no. 21063). X.J. acknowledges support from the Center for Excitonics, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, under award no. DE-SC0001088. J.K. acknowledges support from the Center for Energy Efficient Electronics Science (NSF Award 0939514). B.Y. and Y.C. acknowledge support for this research from the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences under award no. DE-SC0002633. We acknowledge Wenjing Fang and Yong Cheol Shin for providing CVD grown SLG and SL-BN.

**REFERENCES**


