Measuring the Edge Recombination Velocity of Monolayer Semiconductors
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ABSTRACT: Understanding edge effects and quantifying their impact on the carrier properties of two-dimensional (2D) semiconductors is an essential step toward utilizing this material for high performance electronic and optoelectronic devices. WS2 monolayers patterned into disks of varying diameters are used to experimentally explore the influence of edges on the material’s optical properties. Carrier lifetime measurements show a decrease in the effective lifetime, $\tau_{\text{effective}}$, as a function of decreasing diameter, suggesting that the edges are active sites for carrier recombination. Accordingly, we introduce a metric called edge recombination velocity (ERV) to characterize the impact of 2D material edges on nonradiative carrier recombination. The unpassivated WS2 monolayer disks yield an ERV $\sim 4 \times 10^4$ cm/s. This work quantifies the nonradiative recombination edge effects in monolayer semiconductors, while simultaneously establishing a practical characterization approach that can be used to experimentally explore edge passivation methods for 2D materials.
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Two-dimensional (2D) semiconductors exhibit unique physical and chemical properties that make them attractive for various electronic and optoelectronic applications. Due to their layered structure, 2D material surfaces are inherently self-terminated with well-defined chemical bonds. As a result, their unpassivated surface defect density is dramatically lower than that of most conventional 3D semiconductors. This unique surface property has enabled the observation of near-unity photoluminescence (PL) internal quantum yield (iQY) in large-area monolayer WS2 and MoS2 treated with superacids, as well as the lack of defect-mediated nonradiative surface recombination. However, for most practical device applications, semiconductors need to be etched into proper patterns, often with small areal footprints. While the top and bottom surfaces of 2D semiconductors are chemically self-terminated, their edges can include a high density of dangling bonds that can lead to detrimental effects on carrier properties, especially when the material is patterned into small structures. In this regard, edge effects are important to be quantified and eventually controlled through proper etching and passivation.

Here, we introduce a metric called the edge recombination velocity (ERV) for 2D materials, to quantify the impact of edges on carrier recombination processes. ERV is defined as the total recombination events per unit time at the edge, divided by the product of perimeter length and excess carrier number per unit area. ERV is a direct measure of the tendency for an edge to enhance the recombination rate. Presumably, ERV depends on the detailed structure of the edge in question and can, accordingly, be altered through chemical treatments akin to those used in surface passivation. We note that ERV in a 2D material is analogous to the surface recombination velocity (SRV) used to quantify the surface quality of 3D materials. ER can be extracted by characterizing the photoluminescence properties of patterned arrays of monolayer disks. We use tungsten disulfide (WS2) monolayers as a model material system, measuring an ERV of $\sim 4.4 \times 10^4$ cm/s after a chlorine plasma patterning. The ERV value provides a baseline for 2D material edge quality and an assessment platform relevant to any optically active members of the 2D material family.

Figure 1a illustrates the expected light emitting behavior of the WS2 monolayer disks under optical excitation, where the edge states can induce nonradiative recombination. This edge quenching is associated with the introduction of nonradiative recombination sites during the etching process and the intrinsic metallic nature of certain edge configurations. To quantitatively characterize the radiative quenching, arrays of WS2 monolayer disks of fixed diameters ($d$) are fabricated by lithography and dry etching. Subsequently, their PL iQY and
The photoluminescence internal quantum yield (PL iQY) of the WS₂ disks is extracted as a function of pump intensity (corresponding to a calculated exciton generation rate). As shown in Figure 2b, there is a monotonic decrease of iQY as d decreases. The general iQY behavior for larger d disks is consistent with the analytical model proposed in previous studies where a pump-independent and pump-dependent behavior is observed at different generation regimes. Speciﬁcally, the generated carriers in WS₂ monolayers at steady state, G, can be balanced using a steady state recombination rate, R, via:

\[ G = R = B_n n^2 + B_i n^2 \]  

(1)

where \[ B_n \] is the nonradiative free carrier recombination rate due to surface defects, \[ n \] is the free carrier concentration, and \[ B_i \] is the formation rate of excitons in the system. At the steady state, \[ B_i \] can be further described via

\[ B_i n^2 = \frac{\langle N \rangle}{\tau_{rad}} + C_{bx} (\langle N \rangle)^2 \]  

(2)

where \[ \langle N \rangle \] is the exciton concentration, \[ \tau_{rad} \] is the radiative recombination lifetime, and \[ C_{bx} \] is the biexcitonic recombination rate.

The above model includes three recombination mechanisms with distinct recombination rates (surface radiative \[ 1/\tau_{rad} \], surface nonradiative \[ B_{nn} \] and biexcitonic \[ C_{bx} \]). The first two mechanisms have the same power dependence and compete directly at the lower generation regime as \[ R \propto n^2 \propto \langle N \rangle \], while the last mechanism becomes dominant at higher generation regime with \[ R \propto \langle N \rangle^3 \] and contributes to the iQY pump dependence. The model also accurately describes the experimental iQY behavior of an unetched WS₂ monolayer shown in Figure 2b and can be ﬁtted closely utilizing similar values of \[ 1/\tau_{rad} \] and \[ C_{bx} \] mentioned in our previous work. As \[ d \] decreases, however, we observe a corresponding iQY decrease at lower generation regime and a convergence of iQY independent of \[ d \] at the higher generation regime. The clear \[ d \] dependence at lower pump power points to an edge recombination rate competing with the radiative and surface nonradiative recombination rates. The iQY convergence at higher pump power points to the previously mentioned
biexcitonic recombination mechanism, overriding the $d$ dependence. As expected, iQY at smaller $d$ seems to exhibit minimal pump dependence, even at the higher generation rates, and is likely due to an increasingly dominant edge recombination competing with the biexcitonic recombination mechanism.

To further understand the edge recombination mechanism and measure ERV, we use TRPL to extract the lifetimes of carriers in WS$_2$ disks as a function of their diameters. Figure 3 shows the generated exciton concentration decay versus time of different diameter disks, demonstrating a faster lifetime decay as $d$ decreases. As expected, two different regimes of lifetime decay are observed in the TRPL data. At the higher generated exciton density regime, biexcitonic recombination is observed (corresponding to the converging iQY in Figure 2b at the higher generation rate), dominating the lifetime decay across all disk sizes independent of $d$. As the exciton concentration decays over time, however, lower order recombination mechanisms become observable. These mechanisms can be classified into three types: radiative and nonradiative surface mechanisms, and a nonradiative edge mechanism. To determine the ERV, we fit a decay lifetime $\tau_{\text{effective}}$ incorporating all three aforementioned lifetime components at the lower generated exciton density assuming negligible biexcitonic recombinations. In this approximation, a single exponential decay fit can be applied via:

$$\frac{d(N)}{dt} = -\frac{\langle N \rangle}{\tau_{\text{effective}}}$$

where $\tau_{\text{effective}}$ conforms to the following Matthiessen’s relation:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{effective}}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{rad}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{nrad}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{edge}}}$$

Specifically, $\tau_{\text{rad}}$ and $\tau_{\text{nrad}}$ are the surface radiative and nonradiative recombination lifetime measured as 3.4 and 2.4 ns, respectively, in our previous work. Decay curves in Figure 3 are fitted using both a single exponential decay at low generated exciton density as well as convoluting the single exponential decay with a measured instrument response function iteratively against experimental data to ensure accuracy.

Figure 4a plots $1/\tau_{\text{effective}}$ vs $1/d$ across multiple disk samples using the previously fitted $\tau_{\text{effective}}$ values. The error bars indicate the standard deviation of all measured samples with the same designed $d$. To find the ERV, we first experimentally determine $\tau_{\text{rad}}$ and $\tau_{\text{nrad}}$ from an unetched WS$_2$ monolayer and collect them under a single time constant $\tau_{\text{surface}}$:

$$\tau_{\text{surface}} = \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{rad}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{nrad}}}\right)^{(-1)} = 1.37 \text{ ns}$$

Subsequently, $\tau_{\text{edge}}$ is extracted from the measured $\tau_{\text{effective}}$ for each disk diameter. Using the diffusion model presented in Supporting Information, $\tau_{\text{edge}}$ and ERV are related by the following expression:

$$\tau_{\text{edge}} = \frac{d}{4 \times \text{ERV}}$$

where ERV has units of length/time.

With eq 6, we fit the experimental $1/\tau_{\text{effective}}$ vs $1/d$ curve with a linear slope of $(4 \times \text{ERV})$ and find an ERV of $\sim 4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^4 \text{ cm/s}$. Notably, the $y$-intercept of the fitted line also directly indicates the asymptotic value of $\tau_{\text{effective}}$ where $\tau_{\text{effective}}$ approaches $\tau_{\text{surface}}$ as $d$ approaches infinity.
It is important to compare the steady-state PL iQY in Figure 2b against the lifetime measurements shown in Figure 3 to verify self-consistency between the two experiments. To this end, we directly compare the iQY values extracted from both measurements. For the TRPL data in Figure 3, we find the time-resolved extracted iQY via:

\[
iQY = \frac{\tau_{\text{effective}}}{\tau_{\text{rad}}} \]

(7)

Figure 4b shows from the iQY comparison between quantities calculated from measured decay curves of TRPL (blue) and those directly extracted from steady state PL (green) at the low excitation regime. Both sets of iQY values show a similar trend of decay and are in good agreement. The error bars on the PL iQY curve represent the uncertainty in the absorption measurements, while the error bars on time-resolved extracted iQY curve reflect the spread in the extracted \( \tau_{\text{effective}} \) mentioned previously.

To understand ERV as a metric of nonradiative edge recombination in 2D semiconductors, we draw attention to a similar metric extensively utilized by the optoelectronics community, called surface recombination velocity (SRV). SRV is used for describing the surface quality of a 3D semiconductor by quantifying the nonradiative carrier recombination sites at the surface and is a key figure of merit for projecting the maximum performance of the enabled optoelectronic devices based on a 3D semiconductor.\(^\text{7b}\) Generally, SRV can range from high quality passivated silicon surface of \(<1\text{ cm/s}\) to unpassivated silicon surfaces spanning into the \(10^3–10^5\text{ cm/s}\) regimes.\(^\text{17–19}\)

Similar to SRV and the critical role it plays in quantifying surface recombination, ERV can also serve as a key figure of merit for nonradiative carrier recombination at the edge of 2D materials. To see this, we define ERV as

\[
ERV = \frac{N_l}{A} \sigma_{1D} \nu_{th} \tag{8}
\]

and making the appropriate substitution from eq 6 where \(N_l/A\) is the areal density of nonradiative recombination sites.

Equation 8 allows us to calculate the density of nonradiative recombination sites at a 2D material edge. To illustrate this, we approximate the nonradiative edge recombination sites density \(N_l/l\) on our measured WS\(_2\) system using a capture radius on the order of the atomic radius \(\sigma_{1D} \sim 10^{-7}\text{ cm}\) and an exciton thermal velocity \(\nu_{th} \sim 10^4\text{ cm/s}\).\(^\text{20,21}\) The \(\nu_{th}\) value is estimated using experimentally measured diffusion length of excitons in transition metal dichalcogenides (10\(^{-2}\)–10\(^{-3}\) cm) divided by the measured lifetime (\(~1\text{ ns}\)).\(^\text{22,23}\) The value used also falls within the range of reported exciton \(\nu_{th}\) from inorganic materials such as GaAs/AlGaAs quantum wells and thin silicon (10\(^6\) to 10\(^7\) cm/s) to organic molecules such as anthracene (10\(^4\) cm/s).\(^\text{24–27}\) With our measured ERV, we calculate \(N_l/l \approx 4.4 \times 10^4\text{ cm}^{-2}\), corresponding to a nonradiative recombination site per \(~2\text{ Å}^\text{edge}\) length. The estimated density hints at nonradiative recombination at nearly every edge atom, underlying the need for better passivation schemes in the future. This is expected given that certain edge orientations are calculated to exhibit metallic behavior.\(^\text{17}\)

In summary, a simple direct optical characterization method enables the experimental measurement of ERV, a quantitative metric directly related to the optical quality of the edge of 2D materials. Using WS\(_2\) as a model material system, we measure ERV of 4 \(\times\) 10\(^4\) cm/s for Cl-plasma etched edges. The approach can be extended to other optically active 2D semiconductors. In the future, ERV can be used as an edge quality metric to explore the effectiveness of different edge passivation schemes.
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